

# **Proposal for the International Criminology Conference 2024: Combating Cognitive Warfare**

## **Combating Cognitive Warfare's Deceitful Tactics in a Hyperactive Disinformation and Misinformation-Charged Society**

Calvin Nobles,  
UMGC  
Calvin.nobles@umgc.edu

Ann Rangarajan  
Illinois Institute of Technology  
arangarajan@iit.edu

Darrell Burrell,  
Marymount University  
Darrell.burrell@yahoo.com

Sharon L. Burton  
Capitol Technology University  
sharonlburton2@comcast.net

### **Introduction**

Cognitive warfare represents a significant and urgent challenge in today's hyperconnected society. Characterized by the strategic use of disinformation and misinformation, it aims to influence perceptions and behaviors, undermining trust in institutions, exacerbating societal divisions, and threatening national security (MacDonald & Ratcliffe, 2023). The U.S. Government Accountability Office (2022) has highlighted these threats, noting that adversaries leverage technological advances to influence human cognition, offsetting traditional U.S. warfighting advantages. The U.S. military, however, faces institutional challenges, including a lack of leadership emphasis, insufficient resources, and outdated processes. This proposal outlines a comprehensive strategy to combat cognitive warfare's deceitful tactics, integrating technological, educational, and policy measures.

### **Cognitive Warfare Deceitfulness**

Cognitive warfare uses advanced psychological and technological strategies to manipulate and influence human cognition and behavior (Ibrahim et al., 2023). By exploiting information and communication technologies, these tactics target specific individuals or groups to alter their perceptions, beliefs, and actions. Techniques include disseminating misinformation and disinformation through social media and other digital platforms to create confusion, foster distrust, and destabilize societal cohesion. The precision of these operations enhances their

impact, making cognitive warfare a potent tool in achieving strategic objectives with minimal physical confrontation.

## **Technological Countermeasures**

*Development of Advanced Detection Algorithms.* Artificial intelligence (AI) can revolutionize fact-checking through machine learning and natural language processing (NLP). Automated solutions for detecting disinformation can be integrated into fact-checking systems or function independently. AI-based monitoring systems on social media platforms play a critical role in curbing disinformation by identifying and addressing false information in real-time (Das et al., 2023).

*Implementing Verification Systems Robust.* Software Verification and Validation (V&V) methods are essential to ensure AI systems' stability, dependability, and security. Verification systems such as blockchain technology can ensure information authenticity, creating a transparent and immutable record of content provenance. This is particularly effective in high-stakes environments like elections or public health communications (McFarland, 2024).

*Strengthening Platform Policies.* Major social media platforms have implemented various measures to combat misinformation, such as banning misleading content, labeling false claims, and providing voter information centers. Despite these efforts, the measures' effectiveness and transparency vary, highlighting the ongoing challenges in addressing disinformation in digital spaces (Paul, 2020).

## **Educational Initiatives**

*Media Literacy Programs.* Media literacy education enhances individuals' ability to identify and reduce the spread of fake news, disinformation, and misinformation. Media and information literacy (MIL) training equips participants with critical skills such as reverse image searches, evaluating source credibility, and identifying technical shortcomings in news stories. Incorporating MIL into mainstream education is crucial for fostering an informed and discerning public (Dame Adjinn-Tettey, 2022).

*Public Awareness Campaigns.* Public awareness campaigns are vital in combating misinformation, particularly during global crises like the COVID-19 pandemic. These campaigns educate the public about the dangers of fake news and promote critical thinking and fact-checking skills. Effective campaigns involve collaborations between governments, healthcare professionals, and media organizations to disseminate accurate information and counter false narratives (Hadlington et al., 2023).

## **Policy and Regulatory Measures**

*Enacting Comprehensive Legislation.* Policies and regulatory bodies are pivotal in combating disinformation by implementing strategic frameworks and legislative measures. Recommendations include establishing a Civic Education Task Force, enhancing support for

disinformation research, creating monitoring capabilities within the Department of Homeland Security, and reforming relevant legislation to improve transparency and accountability (King & Gallagher, 2021).

*Establishing Regulatory Bodies.* Independent regulatory bodies should be established to monitor and enforce compliance with disinformation laws. These bodies can serve as central hubs for reporting and investigating cognitive warfare cases, enhancing public trust and legitimacy through transparency and accountability (Strowel & De Meyere, 2023).

*Promoting International Cooperation.* Disinformation and misinformation are global challenges that require international cooperation. Governments should collaborate to share intelligence, harmonize regulations, and coordinate responses to cross-border disinformation campaigns. International organizations can facilitate these efforts by providing frameworks for collaboration and support (Praveenkumar, 2024).

## **Conclusion**

Combating cognitive warfare in a disinformation-charged society requires a comprehensive and coordinated approach. Leveraging advanced technologies, enhancing education and awareness, enacting effective policies, and building societal resilience can mitigate the impact of deceitful tactics. Collaborative efforts across sectors and borders are essential to safeguard information integrity and maintain trust in institutions. As the digital age evolves, staying vigilant and proactive against these challenges is paramount.

## **References**

- Bateman, J., & Jackson, D. (2024). Countering disinformation effectively. [Carnegie Endowment for International Peace](#).
- Dame Adjin-Tettey, T. (2022). Combating fake news disinformation and misinformation: Experimental evidence for media literacy education. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 9(1), 2037229.
- Das, A., Liu, H., Kovatchev, V., & Lease, M. (2023). The state of human-centered NLP technology for fact-checking. *ArXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.103219>
- Hadlington, L., Harkin, L. J., Kuss, D., Newman, K., & Ryding, F. C. (2023). Perceptions of fake news, misinformation, and disinformation amid the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative exploration. *Psychology of Popular Media*, 12(1), 40.
- Ibrahim, F., Rhode, S., & Daseking, M. (2023). A systematic review of cognitive and psychological warfare. *The Defence Horizon Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10205600>
- King, A., & Gallagher, R. M. (2021). Countering disinformation in the United States. United States of America, Cyberspace Solarium Commission, CSC White Paper #6.

MacDonald, A., & Ratcliffe, R. (2023, April). Cognitive warfare: Maneuvering the human dimension. *U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings*, 149, 4142.

McFarland, R. (2024, May 22). The use of verification and validation in the development of AI/ML software. *Medium*. <https://highervista.medium.com/the-use-of-verification-and-validation-v-v-in-the-development-of-ai-ml-software-b70a7b417218>

Paul, K. (2020, October 30). Here are all the steps social media made to combat misinformation. Will it be enough? *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2020/oct/29/here-are-all-the-steps-social-media-made-to-combat-misinformation-will-it-be-enough>

Praveenkumar, B. (2024). Misinformation and disinformation: Unravelling the web of deceptive information. *Journal of Law and Legal Research Development*, 1(1), 29-33.

Strowel, A., & De Meyere, J. (2023). The Digital Services Act: Transparency as an efficient tool to curb the spread of disinformation on online platforms? *Journal of Intellectual Property, Information Technology and Electronic Commerce Law*, 14, 66.